(b) whether it is a fact that anti-larval measures to check dengue were taken late by the MCD, NDMC, and Delhi Cantonment Board during the year and if so, what action Government propose to take against the delinquent officers;

(c) the quantum of money collected from negligent home owners for dengue mosquito breeding; and

(d) the measures taken to stop occurring of deaths due to dengue in Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) During 2003 a total of 35 deaths, during 2004 a total of 3 deaths and during 2005 (upto November, 2005) a total of 9 deaths were recorded in Delhi.

(b) The implementing agencies for taking antilarval measures in NCT Delhi are MCD, NDMC and Delhi Cantonment Board. They have been taking anti larval measures including weekly application of larvicides to check dengue.

(c) As per the reports received from NDMC and MCD, a sum of Rs. 4350/- and Rs. 46,82,700/- have been collected by NDMC & MCD respectively from negligent home owners for dengue mosquito breeding.

(d) All the above implementing agencies have been advised to take the following measures to prevent deaths due to dengue.

(i) Surveillance of disease and vector mosquito on regular basis.

(ii) Clinical management of reported cases in all hospitals in NCT.

(iii) Vector control mainly through source reduction, personal protection and Behaviour Change Communication campaign, inter-sectoral convergence for mosquito breeding source reduction and personal protection measures.

Cases against spurious medicine manufacturers

†3447. DR. PRABHA THAKUR:
DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(a) the number of cases registered for spurious drugs/adulteration of drugs during the last three years, State-wise and year-wise;

(b) how many prosecutions have been launched against the offenders and what is the challan percentage.

(c) what is the conviction percentage;

(d) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the World Health Organisation reports which described India as major global producer of spurious drugs accounting for one-third of the world's fake drug market;

(e) if so, the details thereof; and

(f) what steps the Central and State Governments have taken/propose to take to improve the scenerio?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) to (c) Under provisions of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 and Rules thereunder, the State Governments are empowered to regulate and monitor the quality of drugs moving in the market. Action against any complaint for possible manufacture and sale of spurious/adulterated drugs is taken by the State Governments through their respective Drugs Control Organizations. However, as per the feed back available from the Drug Controllers of States/UTs, the information for the period 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2004-2005, is enclosed as Statement-I, Statement-II and Statement-III respectively. (*See* below).

(d) and (e) WHO has informed that no study has been conducted by them describing India as major global producer of spurious drugs, as alleged in same media reports.

(f) A Bill was introudced in the Rajya Sabha in May 2005 to amend the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 to provide stricter punishment to the offenders including a maximum penalty of the imprisonment as well as stiff fines. The Bill further provides to make such offences non-bailable and cognizable and to constitute designated court for speedy trials.

Statement-I

No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected and No. of prosecution launched by the State/UTs Drugs Controllers for the period 2002-2003.

Sl. No.	States/UTs	No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected	No. of prosecution launched on detection of spurious/adulterated drugs and other offences under Drugs & Cosmetics Act and Rules
1	2	3	4
1.	Andhra Pradesh	06	22
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	Nil	03
3.	Assam	Nil	03
4.	Bihar	03	03
5.	Goa	Nil	02
6.	Gujarat	05	25
7.	Haryana	13	173
8.	Himachal Pradesh	01	Nil
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	01	01
10.	Karnataka	01	04
11.	Kerala	Nil	49
12.	Madhya Pradesh	Nil	10
13.	Maharashtra	21	58
14.	Manipur	Nil	Nil
15.	Meghalaya	Nil	Nil
16.	Mizoram	Nil	Nil

1	2	3	4
17.	Nagaland	Nil	Nil
18.	Orissa	01	23
19.	Punjab	16	03
20.	Rajasthan	07	27
21.	Sikkim	Nil	Nil
22.	Tamilnadu	08	05
23.	Tripura	Nil	Nil
24.	Uttar Pradesh	30	18
25.	West Bengal	06	09
26.	Pondicherry	Nil	Nil
27.	Andaman & Nicobar Island	Nil	Nil
28.	Chandigarh	Nil	Nil
29.	Delhi	10	09
30.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Nil	Nil
31.	Daman & Diu	Nil	Nil
32.	Lakshadweep	Nil	Nil
33.	Chhattisgarh	Nil	02
34.	Jharkhand	Nil	Nil
35.	Uttaranchal	NA	NA

NA-Not Available.

Statement-II

No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected and No. of prosecution launched by the State/UTs Drugs Controllers for the period 2003-2004

Sl. No.	States/UTs	No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected	No. of prosecution launched on detection of spurious/adulterated drugs and other offences under Drugs & Cosmetics Act and Rules
1	2	3	4
1.	Andhra Pradesh	05	97
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	Nil	Nil
3.	Assam	01	02
4.	Bihar	Nil	37
5.	Goa	Nil	04
6.	Gujarat	03	29
7.	Haryana	15	58
8.	Himachal Pradesh	Nil	23
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	Nil	Nil
10.	Karnataka	04	84
11.	Kerala	Nil	02
12.	Madhya Pradesh	Nil	03
13.	Maharashtra	06	83
14.	Manipur	Nil	Nil
15.	Meghalaya	Nil	Nil

1	2	3	4
16.	Mizoram	Nil	Nil
17.	Nagaland	Nil	Nil
18.	Orissa	03	33
19.	Punjab	13	Nil
20.	Rajasthan	09	48
21.	Sikkim	Nil	Nil
22.	Tamilnadu	37	12
23.	Tripura	Nil	03
24.	Uttar Pradesh	10	13
25.	West Bengal	01	07
26.	Pondicherry	Nil	Nil
27.	Andaman & Nicobar Island	Nil	Nil
28.	Chandigarh	01	02
29.	Delhi	10	30
30.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Nil	Nil
31.	Daman & Diu	Nil	Nil
32.	Lakshadweep	Nil	Nil
33.	Chhattisgarh	Nil	Nil
34.	Jharkhand	Nil	Nil
35.	Uttaranchal	Nil	Nil

Statement-III

No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected and No. of prosecution launched by the State/UTs Drugs Controllers for the period 2004-2005.

Sl. No.	States/UTs	No. of spurious/adulterated drugs detected	No. of prosecution launched on detection of spurious/adulterated drugs and other offences under Drugs & Cosmetics Act and Rules
1	2	3	4
1.	Andhra Pradesh	05	73
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	Nil	Nil
3.	Assam	Nil	Nil
4.	Bihar	Nil	118
5.	Goa	Nil	Nil
6.	Gujarat	04	14
7.	Haryana	01	14
8.	Himachal Pradesh	01	02
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	Nil	Nil
10.	Karnataka	08	09
11.	Kerala	01	01
12.	Madhya Pradesh	23	34
13.	Maharashtra	02	11
14.	Manipur	NA	NA
15.	Meghalaya	Nil	Nil
16.	Mizoram	Nil	Nil

1	2	3	4
17.	Nagaland	Nil	Nil
18.	Orissa	02	01
19.	Punjab	13	06
20.	Rajasthan	07	28
21.	Sikkim	Nil	Nil
22.	Tamilnadu	47	33
23.	Tripura	Nil	Nil
24.	Uttar Pradesh	07	03
25.	West Bengal	20	06
26.	Pondicherry	NA	Nil
27.	Andaman & Nicobar Island	Nil	Nil
28.	Chandigarh	Nil	Nil
29.	Delhi	03	06
30.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Nil	Nil
31.	Daman & Diu	Nil	Nil
32.	Lakshadweep	NA	NA
33.	Chhattisgarh	NA	NA
34.	Jharkhand	Nil	03
35.	Uttaranchal	Nil	11

NA—Not Available.

Declining AIDS cases

3448. SHRI VIJAY J. DARDA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the recent press reports that AIDS epidemic infections in India in 2004 was just 28000 as against 6 lakhs in the previous year;